

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2009

H032850 PEOPLE v. MARAVILLA

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J., McAdams, J.)
Filed February 23, 2009

H033103 MARQUEZ, et al. v. NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Premo, J.)
Filed February 23, 2009

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2009

H032041 BREGANTE, et al. v. STEINBERG, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. The parties are to bear their own costs on appeal. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Duffy, J.)
Filed February 24, 2009

H032910 PEOPLE v. STANDISH

By the Court:

Appellant's request to vacate submission and motion for leave to file a supplemental opening brief are granted. The submission order of January 6, 2009, is hereby vacated. The clerk is directed to file said brief forthwith. Respondent may serve and file a supplemental response within 15 days of the date of this order. If a supplemental response is filed, appellant may serve and file a reply within 10 days of the date the supplemental response is filed. The cause will be resubmitted upon completion of supplemental briefing.

Dated: February 24, 2009 Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.

H033390 PEOPLE v. KOZLOWSKI

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J., McAdams, J.)
Filed February 24, 2009

H033150 PEOPLE v. SCAIANO

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: McAdams, J., Duffy, J.)
Filed February 24, 2009

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2009

The following case is submitted this date:

H033349 In re L.B.; SANTA CRUZ COUNTY HRA v. R.B.

H031753 PEOPLE v. PEREZ

The trial court is ordered to modify the probation conditions in the judgment. The trial court is directed to replace the condition regarding gang gathering areas with "not be present in any area that defendant knows to be or is told by his probation officer is a gang-gathering area." The trial court is directed to replace the condition regarding gang paraphernalia with "not to possess, wear, use or display any item you know or have been told by your probation officer, to be associated with membership or affiliation in a gang, including, but not limited to, any insignia, emblem, button, badge, cap, hat, scarf, bandanna, any article of clothing, hand sign, or paraphernalia to include the color red, the number 4 or 14." With these modifications, the judgment is affirmed (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Premo, J.)
Filed February 25, 2009

H032981 PEOPLE v. RAMOS

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Duffy, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., McAdams, J.)
Filed February 25, 2009

H032956 PEOPLE v. GARCIA

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Duffy, J.; We concur: Mihara, Acting P.J., McAdams, J.)
Filed February 25, 2009

H032646 DROBAC et al. v. BURNS et al.

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Duffy, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., McAdams, J.)
Filed February 25, 2009

H031575 KUO v. SUN

Filed modification of opinion with no change in the judgment. (not published)
(Elia, J. and Rushing, P.J.)
Filed February 25, 2009

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2009

H032390 ALTER v. ALTER

The order of the trial court dated October 29, 2007, is reversed. The matter is remanded to the trial court to reconsider, in light of the opinion expressed herein, its order for spousal support. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal (published)

(Premo, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Elia, J.)

Filed February 26, 2009

H032234 PEOPLE v. ALARCON

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Premo, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Elia, J.)

Filed February 26, 2009

H029767 STYLES, et al. v. MUMBERT

The judgment is reversed, the default is set aside and the matter is remanded to the trial court with directions to reinstate Mumbert's answer. (not published)

(Rushing, P.J.; We concur: Premo, J., Elia, J.)

Filed February 26, 2009

H033101 PEOPLE v. JENSEN

The judgment is hereby modified to delete the Penal Code section 290.3 fine. The trial court is directed to prepare an amended abstract of judgment eliminating that fine and to forward a certified copy of the amended abstract to the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. The modified judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Mihara, J.; We concur: Elia, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.)

Filed February 26, 2009

H032719 STEPHENS v. LANE

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Rushing, P.J.; We concur: Premo, J., Elia, J.)

Filed February 26, 2009

H033385 PEOPLE v. PEDAGAT

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Mihara, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.)

Filed February 27, 2009

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2009

H032066 PEOPLE v. HJELTNESS

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Premo, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed February 27, 2009

H033047 PEOPLE v. ORTEGA

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Duffy, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., McAdams, J.)
Filed February 27, 2009

H031849 CURTIS v. SILICON VALLEY BANK

The summary judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(McAdams, J.; We concur: Mihara, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.)
Filed February 27, 2009

H031658 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al. v. SUPERIOR COURT
(CALIFORNIA FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION

Filed modification of opinion with a change in the judgment.
(published)
(McAdams, J., Elia, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed February 27, 2009

H033020 PEOPLE v. BROWN

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(McAdams, J.; We concur: Mihara, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.)
Filed February 27, 2009

H033504 PEOPLE v. GONZALES

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(McAdams, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed February 27, 2009